arXiv:1503.00889v2 [math.MG] 26 Mar 2015

Shape of Alexandrov spaces with positive Ricci curvature

Zisheng Hu Le Yin

Abstract: Under the definition of Ricci curvature bounded below for Alexandrov spaces introduced by Zhang-Zhu, we extend a result by Colding that an $n-$dimensional manifold with Ricci curvature greater or equal to $n-1$ and volume close to that of the unit $n-$sphere is close (in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance) to the sphere, from the case of Riemannian manifolds to the case of Alexandrov spaces, with an additional assumption, roughly speaking, that the rough volume of the set of "short" cut points is small, following the basic idea in the Riemannian case with necessary modifications because of the only almost everywhere second differentiability of distance functions.

1 Introduction

Let ω_n be the volume of the round $n-$sphere S^n with sectional curvature one, in [C], Colding shows the following

Theorem 1.1 [C] *Given an integer* $n \geq 2$ *and an* $\epsilon > 0$*, there exists a* $\delta(n, \epsilon) > 0$ *such that if* M^n *is an* $n-$*dimentional manifold with* $Ric(M) \geq n-1$ *and* $Vol(M) \geq \omega_n - \delta$*, then the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between* M *and* S^n *is at most* ϵ*.*

As the concepts of curvature in Riemannian geometry have been generalized to singular spaces, it would be interesting to extend the above result to the case of singular spaces.

Below we briefly recall the generalizations of lower bound of sectional curvature and Ricci curvature in Riemannian geometry. As for sectional curvature, the generalization is Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, see the seminal paper [BGP] and the 10th chapter in the text book [BBI]; As for Ricci curvature, there are several notions to generalize lower Ricci curvature bound to metric measure spaces, such as Lott-Sturm-Villani's curvature-dimension condition $CD(k, n)$ [LV, S1, S2], Ohta-Sturm's MCP condition[O, S2] and Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré's Riemannian curvature dimension condition $RCD(k, n)$ [AGS, EKS].

In this paper, we are concerned with lower Ricci curvature bound for Alexandrov spaces as a particular case of metric measure spaces. In [KS1, KS2], Kuwae-Shioya introduced an infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition $BG(k)$ for Alexandrov spaces, and established a topological version of Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem on Alexandrov spaces under $BG(k)$; In [ZZ1], Zhang-Zhu introduced a definition of Ricci curvature bounded below for Alexandrov spaces based on Petrunin's second variation of arc length [Pet1], they showed that the new definition implies the curvature-dimension condition and there hold Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem (see also [G] on general metric measure spaces) and maximal diameter theorem on

Alexandrov spaces under this Ricci condition. Furthermore, they established a Bochner type formula on Alexandrov spaces and many important results under this Ricci condition, see [ZZ2, ZZ3, QZZ, ZZ4].

See [ZZ2] for a detailed discussion of the relations among various generalizations of Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces.

To state the main result, denote

M — an $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by some $k_0(<0)$ and without boundary;

$C_{p,\hat{\theta}}$ — the set of points $x \in M$ such that minimal geodesic $\overline{px}$ can not be extended beyond x and $|px| \leq \pi - \hat{\theta}$, for any $p \in M$, $\hat{\theta} \to 0^+$;

$\beta_X(a)$ — the largest possible number of points $x_i \in X$ that are at least a pairwise distant from each other, for any metric space X and $a > 0$;

$Vr_d(X) := \limsup_{a\to 0^+} a^d \beta_X(a)$ — the rough $d-$dimensional volume of a bounded set X in a metric space, for any $d \geq 0$.

Under the definition of Ricci curvature bounded below for Alexandrov spaces introduced by Zhang-Zhu, we extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of Alexandrov spaces with an additional assumption.

Theorem 1.2 *Given an $\epsilon > 0$, an integer $n \geq 2$, a non-increasing natural number valued function $\mathcal{N}(*)$, and a positive function $\Phi_0(\star; *, n)$ depending on $\star$ and parameters $*, n$ with $\lim_{\star\to 0^+} \Phi_0(\star; *, n) = 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n, \mathcal{N}, \Phi_0) > 0$ such that if M is an $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space with $Ric(M) \geq n-1$ and $Vol(M) \geq \omega_n - \delta$, and in addition,*

$$(A) \qquad \beta_{C_{p,\hat{\theta}}}(a) \leq \frac{\mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta})}{a^{n-2}} + \frac{\Phi_0[\omega_n - Vol(M); \hat{\theta}, n]}{a^{n-1}}, \qquad for\ any\ p \in M,\ \hat{\theta}, a \to 0^+,$$

then the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between M and S^n is at most ϵ.

About the additional assumption (A) Roughly speaking, the assumption (A) says that the $n-1$ dimensional rough volume of the set of "short" cut points is small; it is proposed to obtain Lemma 5.1 and make the proof of Lemma 5.5 go through; while the assumption (A) seems reasonable by Lemma 4.3(ii) which says that the $n-1$ dimensional Hausdorff volume of the set of directions of "short" cut points is small, we do not know whether Lemma 5.1 and 5.5 could be deduced directly from Lemma 4.3(ii).

About the proof The proof follows the basic idea in the Riemannian case with necessary modifications because of the only almost everywhere second differentiability of distance functions. More precisely, for the case of Riemannian manifolds, two key lemmas among others in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are an L^2-version of Toponogov triangle comparison theorem for positive Ricci curvature (see Lemma 1.4 and 1.15 of [C]) which is deduced from Bochner's formula and a smooth approximation, and a "predictability" lemma (see Lemma 2.10 of [C]) based on the L^2-version of Toponogov triangle comparison; for the case of Alexandrov spaces, because of the lack of smoothness, to obtain some kind of L^2-version of Toponogov triangle comparison for positive Ricci curvature, we show an inequality of Riccati type for distance function and its solution along geodesics from some fixed point p, and integrate this Riccati inequality outside the cut locus of p, and thus obtain an L^2-version of Toponogov triangle comparison outside the cut locus of p, then, to obtain a similar "predictability" lemma based on this Toponogov triangle comparison, we have to bypass the cut locus of p and thus the additional assumption (A) is proposed; after having extended the two key lemmas from the case of Riemannian manifolds to the case of Alexandrov spaces, the rest of the proof is the same as in the Riemannian case.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Professors Xi-Ping Zhu and Hui-Chun Zhang for their help and comments.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic concepts and some facts about Alexandrov spaces (see [BBI, BGP, PP, Pet2] for details), and the Hessian and Laplacian for the distance function and the lower Ricci curvature bound for Alexandrov spaces introduced by Zhang-Zhu [ZZ1, ZZ2].

A complete metric space $(X, |\cdot\cdot|)$ is called to be *a geodesic space* if for any two points $p, q \in X$, the distance $|pq|$ is realized as the length of a rectifiable curve connecting p and q. Such distance-realizing curves, parameterized by arc-length, are called *(minimal) geodesics.*

Roughly speaking, given $k \in R$, a geodesic space X is called to be *an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below by k locally* (for short, we say X to be *an Alexandrov space*), if all sufficiently small triangles in X are not thinner than the corresponding $k-$plane triangles. See [BBI, BGP, PP] for several equivalent definitions of Alexandrov spaces, here we recall the one from [PP]:

Let ϕ be a continuous function on (a, b), $t \in (a, b)$. $\phi''(t) \leq B$ means $\phi(t+\tau) \leq \phi(t) + A\tau + B\tau^2/2 + o(\tau^2)$ for some $A \in R$. If ν is another continuous function on (a, b), then $\phi'' \leq \nu$ means $\phi''(t) \leq \nu(t)$ for all $t \in (a, b)$. Similarly, one can define $\phi''(t) \geq B$ and $\phi'' \geq \nu$;

X is called *an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below by* k $(k \in R)$ if for any geodesic $\sigma : [0, l] \to X$ and x not belonging to $\sigma[0, l]$, $\phi := \rho_k \circ dist_x \circ \sigma$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$\phi'' \leq 1 - k\phi,$$

where

$$\rho_k(\varsigma) := \begin{cases} 1/k[1 - \cos(\varsigma\sqrt{k})], & if \quad k > 0, \\ x^2/2, & if \quad k = 0, \\ 1/k[1 - \cosh(\varsigma\sqrt{-k})], & if \quad k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Below denote

M — be an $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by $k_0 (k_0 < 0)$ and without boundary,

$\uparrow_x^y$ — the direction at x of a minimal geodesic from x to y,

$\Uparrow_x^y$ — the set of directions at x of minimal geodesics from x to y,

Σ_x — the space of directions at a point $x \in M$,

T_x — the tangent cone at x,

$\sigma_\xi(s) := \exp_x(s\xi)(s \geq 0)$ — some (quasi-)geodesic from x in a direction $\xi \in \Sigma_x$.

Fixing a point $p \in M$, let

$$\gamma : [0, l] \to M \;\; \textit{be a geodesic with} \; \gamma(0) = p \;\; \textit{and} \;\; 0 < l \leq \pi,$$

$$f := dist_p = |p\,\cdot|, \qquad\qquad \tilde{f} := \cos f,$$

and d_xf be the differential of f at a point $x \in M \setminus \{p\}$. By [BBI,4.5.7; BGP,11.4; OS,3.5], the first variation formula for distance function still holds, that is, for any $\xi \in \Sigma_x$,

$$d_xf(\xi) = -\cos|\Uparrow_x^p, \xi|.$$

The lower and upper Hessian of f at x are defined respectively by

$$\underline{Hess}_x f(\xi) := \liminf_{s\to 0} \frac{f(\exp_x(s\xi)) - f(\exp_x(0)) - d_xf(\xi)\cdot s}{s^2/2}, \qquad \textit{for any} \ \ \xi \in \Sigma_x,$$

$$\overline{Hess}_x f(\xi) := \limsup_{s\to 0} \frac{f(\exp_x(s\xi)) - f(\exp_x(0)) - d_xf(\xi)\cdot s}{s^2/2}, \qquad \textit{for any} \ \ \xi \in \Sigma_x,$$

and $\underline{Hess}_x^{\varepsilon} f$, $\overline{Hess}_x^{\varepsilon} f$ are defined by replacing $\liminf_{s\to 0}$, $\limsup_{s\to 0}$ with $\liminf_{\varepsilon_j\to 0}$, $\limsup_{\varepsilon_j\to 0}$, respectively, for a sequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varepsilon_j \to 0$.

By the lower Alexandrov curvature bound $k_0(k_0 < 0)$ of M,

$$\underline{Hess}_x f(\xi) \le \overline{Hess}_x f(\xi) \le \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}|px|)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}|px|)}.$$

Furthermore, by the triangle inequality,

Lemma 2.1 [ZZ1, (3.1, 3.2)] *(i) For any other geodesic* $\sigma : [l_1, l_2] \to M$ *with* $\sigma[l_1, l_2] \cap \gamma[0, l] \ni \sigma(s_0) = \gamma(t_0)$ *for some* $s_0 \in [l_1, l_2]$ *and* $t_0 \in (0, l)$,

$$-\frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t_0)]}{\sinh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t_0)]} \le (f\circ\sigma)''(s_0) \le \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}t_0)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}t_0)};$$

(ii) for any $t \in (0, l)$ *and* $\xi \in \Sigma_{\gamma(t)}$,

$$-\frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t)]}{\sinh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t)]} \le \underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\xi) \le \overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\xi) \le \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)}.$$

Remark 1 Lemma 2.1 (i) and (ii) are the same essentially, we write down different formulations for convenience.

By [BGP, 10.6], for almost all $x \in M$, the tangent cone T_x is isometric to Euclidean $n-$space R^n. And according to [Per3], given a semiconcave function $\psi : M \to R$, for almost all $x \in M$, there is a bilinear form $Hess_x\psi$ on T_x such that

$$\psi(y) = \psi(x) + d_x\psi(\uparrow_x^y)\cdot|xy| + \frac{1}{2}Hess_x\psi(\uparrow_x^y, \uparrow_x^y)\cdot|xy|^2 + o(|xy|^2)$$

for any $\uparrow_x^y \in \Sigma_x$, moreover, $Hess_x\psi$ can be calculated using standard formulas, hereafter, such an x is called *a regular point* of ψ.

Remark 2 If $\gamma(t)(0 \le t \le l)$ is a regular point of f and $\tilde{f}$, since $Hess_{\gamma(t)}f$ and $Hess_{\gamma(t)}\tilde{f}$ can be calculated using standard formulas, $\gamma'(t) \in \Sigma_{\gamma(t)}$ is a eigenvector of $Hess_{\gamma(t)}f$ with the eigenvalue 0, and correspondingly, $\gamma'(t) \in \Sigma_{\gamma(t)}$ is a eigenvector of $Hess_{\gamma(t)}\tilde{f}$ with the eigenvalue $-\cos t$.

According to [BGP, Section 7], the tangent cone $T_{\gamma(t)}$ at an interior point $\gamma(t)(0<t<l)$ of γ can be split into a direct metric product, denote

$$L_{\gamma(t)} = \{\eta \in T_{\gamma(t)} | \angle(\eta, \gamma^+(t)) = \angle(\eta, \gamma^-(t)) = \pi/2\},$$

$$\Lambda_{\gamma(t)} = \{\eta \in \Sigma_{\gamma(t)} | \angle(\eta, \gamma^+(t)) = \angle(\eta, \gamma^-(t)) = \pi/2\}.$$

The lower and upper Laplacian of f, lower and upper semi-Laplacian of $\tilde{f}$ at $\gamma(t)(0< t<l)$ are defined respectively by

$$\underline{\triangle}_{\gamma(t)} f := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} \underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\eta), \qquad \overline{\triangle}_{\gamma(t)} f := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} \overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\eta),$$

$$\underline{\diamondsuit}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} \underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\eta), \qquad \overline{\diamondsuit}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} \overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\eta),$$

and $\underline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t)} f$, $\overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t)} f$ are defined by replacing $\underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f$, $\overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f$ with $\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t)} f$, $\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t)} f$, respectively, for a sequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varepsilon_j \to 0$.

If $\gamma(t)(0<t<l)$ is a regular point of f and $\tilde{f}$, the Laplacian of f, semi-Laplacian and Laplacian of $\tilde{f}$ at $\gamma(t)(0<t<l)$ are defined respectively by

$$\triangle_{\gamma(t)} f := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} Hess_{\gamma(t)} f(\eta),$$

$$\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} := (n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} Hess_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\eta), \qquad \triangle_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} := n \cdot \oint_{\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}} Hess_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\xi).$$

where $\oint_{\diamond} \bullet := \frac{1}{vol(\diamond)} \int_{\diamond} \bullet$.

Lemma 2.2 *(i) For any other geodesic* $\sigma : [l_1, l_2] \to M$ *with* $\sigma[l_1, l_2] \cap \gamma[0, l] \ni \sigma(s_0) = \gamma(t_0)$ *for some* $s_0 \in [l_1, l_2]$ *and* $t_0 \in (0, l)$,

$$-\frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}t_0)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}t_0)} - 1 \le (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma)''(s_0) \le \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t_0)]}{\sinh[\sqrt{-k_0}(l-t_0)]} + 1;$$

(ii) For any $t \in (0, l)$ *and* $\eta \in \Lambda_{\gamma(t)}$,

$$\underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\eta) = -\sin t \cdot \overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\eta), \qquad \overline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f}(\eta) = -\sin t \cdot \underline{Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f(\eta),$$

$$\underline{\diamondsuit}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} = -\sin t \cdot \overline{\triangle}_{\gamma(t)} f, \qquad \overline{\diamondsuit}_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f} = -\sin t \cdot \underline{\triangle}_{\gamma(t)} f.$$

Proof. For simplification, let Lemma 2.1 (i) reads that $c_1 \le (f \circ \sigma)''(s_0) \le c_2$ with $-\infty < c_1 < 0 < c_2 < +\infty$, that is, there exist $a_1, a_2 \in R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(s-s_0) + \tfrac{1}{2}c_1(s-s_0)^2 + o((s-s_0)^2) \quad &\le (f \circ \sigma)(s) - (f \circ \sigma)(s_0) \\ &\le a_2(s-s_0) + \tfrac{1}{2}c_2(s-s_0)^2 + o((s-s_0)^2), \end{aligned}$$

by the first variation formula for the distance function, $d_{\sigma(s_0)} f(\sigma^{\pm}(s_0))$ exist, thus

$$a_1 \ge -d_{\sigma(s_0)} f(\sigma^-(s_0)) \ge a_2 \ge d_{\sigma(s_0)} f(\sigma^+(s_0)) \ge a_1,$$

and $f\circ\sigma$ is differentiable at s_0, $(f\circ\sigma)'(s_0) = -d_{\sigma(s_0)}f(\sigma^-(s_0)) = d_{\sigma(s_0)}f(\sigma^+(s_0))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(f\circ\sigma)(s) = \quad & \cos(f\circ\sigma)(s_0) - \sin(f\circ\sigma)(s_0)\cdot[(f\circ\sigma)(s) - (f\circ\sigma)(s_0)] \\ & + \tfrac{1}{2}[-\cos(f\circ\sigma)(s_0)]\cdot[(f\circ\sigma)'(s_0)]^2\cdot(s-s_0)^2 + o((s-s_0)^2),\end{aligned}$$

note that $0 < (f\circ\sigma)(s_0) < \pi$ and $|(f\circ\sigma)'(s_0)| \le 1$, then,

$$\begin{aligned}& -\sin(f\circ\sigma)(s_0)\cdot(f\circ\sigma)'(s_0)\cdot(s-s_0) + \tfrac{1}{2}(-c_2-1)\cdot(s-s_0)^2 + o((s-s_0)^2) \\ \le \quad & \cos(f\circ\sigma)(s) - \cos(f\circ\sigma)(s_0) \\ \le \quad & -\sin(f\circ\sigma)(s_0)\cdot(f\circ\sigma)'(s_0)\cdot(s-s_0) + \tfrac{1}{2}(-c_1+1)\cdot(s-s_0)^2 + o((s-s_0)^2),\end{aligned}$$

that is, $-c_2-1 \le (\tilde f\circ\sigma)''(s_0) \le -c_1+1$, (i) is obtained.

Note that by the first variation formula, $d_{\gamma(t)}f(\eta) = 0$ for any $t\in(0,l)$ and $\eta\in\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}$, then, by Lemma 2.1 (ii), the proof of (ii) is similar to and easier than that of (i).

In [Pet1], Petrunin proved the following second variation formula of arc-length, based on which, Zhang-Zhu [ZZ1] introduced a new definition of Ricci curvature bounded below for Alexandrov spaces.

Proposition 2.3 [Pet1] *Given a geodesic $\gamma\subset M$, any two points $q_1, q_2\in\gamma$,which are not end points, and any positive number sequence $\{\tilde\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\tilde\varepsilon_j\to 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}\subset\{\widetilde{\varepsilon_j}\}$ and an isometry $T: L_{q_1}\to L_{q_2}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}|\exp_{q_1}(\varepsilon_j u), \exp_{q_2}(\varepsilon_j Tv)| \le |q_1q_2| + \frac{|uv|^2}{2|q_1q_2|}\cdot\varepsilon_j^2 \\ -\frac{k_0\cdot|q_1q_2|}{6}\cdot(|u|^2+|v|^2+<u,v>)\cdot\varepsilon_j^2 + o(\varepsilon_j^2)\end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v\in L_{q_1}$.

Note also that for a $2-$dimensional Alexandrov space, Cao-Dai-Mei [CDM] improved the second variation formula such that the above inequality holds for all $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\varepsilon_j\to 0$.

Definition 2.4 [ZZ2, Definition 2.5] (Condition (RC)) *Let $\alpha: [-s,s]\to M$ be a geodesic and $\{g_{\alpha(t)}\}_{-s<t<s}$ be a family of functions on $\Lambda_{\alpha(t)}$ such that $g_{\alpha(t)}$ is continuous on $\Lambda_{\alpha(t)}$ for each $t\in(-s,s)$. The family $\{g_{\alpha(t)}\}_{-s<t<s}$ is said to satisfy Condition (RC) on α if for any two points $q_1, q_2\in\alpha$ and any sequence $\{\tilde\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with $\tilde\varepsilon_j\to 0$, there exists an isometry $T: L_{q_1}\to L_{q_2}$ and a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}\subset\{\tilde\varepsilon_j\}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}|\exp_{q_1}(\varepsilon_j l_1\eta), \exp_{q_2}(\varepsilon_j l_2 T\eta)| \le |q_1q_2| + \frac{(l_1-l_2)^2}{2|q_1q_2|}\cdot\varepsilon_j^2 \\ -\frac{g_{q_1}(\eta)\cdot|q_1q_2|}{6}\cdot[(l_1)^2 + l_1\cdot l_2 + (l_2)^2]\cdot\varepsilon_j^2 + o(\varepsilon_j^2)\end{aligned}$$

for any $l_1, l_2\ge 0$ and any $\eta\in\Lambda_{q_1}$.

Definition 2.5 [ZZ2, Definition 2.6] *Let* $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ *be a geodesic,* M *is said to have Ricci curvature bounded below by* K *along* γ*, if for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *and any* $0 < t_0 < l$*, there exists* $\tau = \tau(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ *and a family of continuous functions* $\{g_{\gamma(t)}\}_{t_0-\tau<t<t_0+\tau}$ *on* $\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}$ *such that the family satisfies Condition* (RC) *on* $\gamma|_{(t_0-\tau,t_0+\tau)}$ *and*

$$(n-1)\cdot \fint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} g_{\gamma(t)}(\eta) \geq K - \epsilon, \qquad \forall t \in (t_0-\tau, t_0+\tau);$$

M is said to have Ricci curvature bounded below by K, denoted by $Ric(M) \geq K$, if each point $x \in M$ has a neighborhood U_x such that M has Ricci curvature bounded below by K along every geodesic γ in U_x.

Lemma 2.6 [ZZ1, Section 5, Para.3] *For an n-dimensional Alexandrov space M with $Ric(M) \geq n-1$ and without boundary, the curvature-dimension condition $CD(n, n-1)$ holds and $diam(M) \leq \pi$.*

The following lemma is a discrete version of the propagation equation of the Hessian of f along the geodesic.

Lemma 2.7 (see also [ZZ1, Lemma 3.2]) *Let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = p$, $0 < t_0 - \tau < t_1 < t_0 < t_2 < t_0 + \tau < l$ with that f is regular at $\gamma(t_1)$ and $\gamma(t_2)$, and $\{g_{\gamma(t)}\}_{t_0-\tau<t<t_0+\tau}$ be a family of continuous functions on $\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}$ which satisfies Condition (RC) on $\gamma|_{(t_0-\tau,t_0+\tau)}$, then for any sequence $\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \to 0$, there exists isometries $T_1 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_1)}$ and $T_2 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_2)}$, and a subsequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset \{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}$, such that*

$$(i) \quad Hess_{\gamma(t_1)} f(T_1\eta) \geq l^2 \cdot \overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) - \frac{(l-1)^2}{t_0 - t_1} + \frac{l^2 + l + 1}{3} \cdot (t_0 - t_1) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta),$$

$$\text{and } (ii) \quad Hess_{\gamma(t_2)} f(T_2\eta) \leq l^2 \cdot \underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) + \frac{(l-1)^2}{t_2 - t_0} - \frac{l^2 + l + 1}{3} \cdot (t_2 - t_0) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)$$

for any $\eta \in \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}$ and any $l > 0$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of that of [ZZ1, Lemma 3.2].

By the definition of Condition (RC), for the points $\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_1)$ and the sequence $\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, there exists an isometry $T_1 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_1)}$ and a subsequence $\varepsilon^1 := \{\varepsilon^1_j\} \subset \{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}$, such that the associated inequality holds; Once again, for the points $\gamma(t_0), \gamma(t_2)$ and the sequence $\varepsilon^1 := \{\varepsilon^1_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, there exists an isometry $T_2 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_2)}$ and a subsequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset \{\varepsilon^1_j\}$, such that the associated inequality holds.

For any $\eta \in \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}$, $d_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) = 0$, choose a subsequence $\{\varepsilon'_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) = \lim_{\varepsilon'_j \to 0} \frac{f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(\varepsilon'_j \eta)) - f(\gamma(t_0))}{(\varepsilon'_j)^2/2},$$

then for any $l > 0$, let $\hat{\varepsilon}_j := \frac{\varepsilon'_j}{l}$, since f is regular at $\gamma(t_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\hat{\varepsilon}_j\eta)) - f(\exp_{\gamma(t_1)}(\hat{\varepsilon}_j T_1\eta)) \\ = \ & [f(\gamma(t_0)) + \tfrac{(l\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot \overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) + o((\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2)] \\ & -[f(\gamma(t_1)) + \tfrac{(\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot Hess_{\gamma(t_1)} f(T_1\eta) + o((\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2)] \\ = \ & t_0 - t_1 + \tfrac{(\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot [l^2 \cdot \overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) - Hess_{\gamma(t_1)} f(T_1\eta)] + o((\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2), \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, by the inequality associated with Condition (RC),

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\hat{\varepsilon}_j\eta)) - f(\exp_{\gamma(t_1)}(\hat{\varepsilon}_j T_1\eta)) \le |\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\hat{\varepsilon}_j\eta), \exp_{\gamma(t_1)}(\hat{\varepsilon}_j T_1\eta)| \\ & = t_0 - t_1 + \tfrac{(l-1)^2}{2(t_0-t_1)} \cdot (\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2 - \tfrac{g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)\cdot(t_0-t_1)}{6} \cdot (l^2+l+1) \cdot (\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2 + o((\hat{\varepsilon}_j)^2), \end{aligned}$$

combining the above estimates and letting $\hat{\varepsilon}_j \to 0^+$, (i) is obtained.

Similarly, for any $\eta \in \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}$, choose a subsequence $\{\varepsilon''_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) = \lim_{\varepsilon''_j \to 0} \frac{f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(\varepsilon''_j\eta)) - f(\gamma(t_0))}{(\varepsilon''_j)^2/2},$$

then for any $l > 0$, let $\check{\varepsilon}_j := \frac{\varepsilon''_j}{l}$, since f is regular at $\gamma(t_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\exp_{\gamma(t_2)}(\check{\varepsilon}_j T_2\eta)) - f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\check{\varepsilon}_j\eta)) \\ = \ & [f(\gamma(t_2)) + \tfrac{(\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot Hess_{\gamma(t_2)} f(T_2\eta) + o((\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2)] \\ & -[f(\gamma(t_0)) + \tfrac{(l\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot \underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta) + o((\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2)] \\ = \ & t_2 - t_0 + \tfrac{(\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2}{2} \cdot [Hess_{\gamma(t_2)} f(T_2\eta) - l^2 \underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)} f(\eta)] + o((\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2), \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, by the inequality associated with Condition (RC),

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\exp_{\gamma(t_2)}(\check{\varepsilon}_j T_2\eta)) - f(\exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\check{\varepsilon}_j\eta)) \le |\exp_{\gamma(t_2)}(\check{\varepsilon}_j T_2\eta), \exp_{\gamma(t_0)}(l\check{\varepsilon}_j\eta)| \\ & = t_2 - t_0 + \tfrac{(l-1)^2}{2(t_2-t_0)} \cdot (\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2 - \tfrac{g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)\cdot(t_2-t_0)}{6} \cdot (l^2+l+1) \cdot (\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2 + o((\check{\varepsilon}_j)^2), \end{aligned}$$

combining the above estimates and letting $\check{\varepsilon}_j \to 0^+$, (ii) is obtained.

3 An inequality of Riccati type

Convention In this section, let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be a geodesic with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $0 < l \le \pi$ such that f is regular almost everywhere on γ, and

$$I := (0, l), \qquad D := \{t \in I | f \textit{ is regular at } \gamma(t)\},$$

and M has Ricci curvature bounded below by $n - 1$.

Lemma 3.1 (see also [Pet3, Prop.2.2; ZZ1, Prop.A.4]) *(i) $\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f$ is decreasing for $t \in D$, that is, for any $t_1, t_2 \in D$ with $t_1 < t_2$,*

$$\triangle_{\gamma(t_1)}f > \triangle_{\gamma(t_2)}f;$$

(ii) for any $t_0 \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{D \ni t \to t_0} \tfrac{\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f - \triangle_{\gamma(t_0)}f}{t - t_0} &\leq -(n-1) - (n-1) \cdot \fint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}} (Hess_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2 \\ &\leq -(n-1) - \tfrac{(\triangle_{\gamma(t_0)}f)^2}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First to show that, for any $\epsilon > 0$, any sequence $\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \to 0^+$, and any $t_0 \in I$, there exists $\tau := \tau(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that the following holds: for any $t_1 \in (t_0 - \tau, t_0) \cap D$ and $t_2 \in (t_0, t_0 + \tau) \cap D$, there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset \{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \qquad \tfrac{\triangle_{\gamma(t_1)}f - \overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f}{t_1 - t_0} &\leq -(n-1) - \tfrac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})} \cdot \textstyle\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}} (\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2 + \epsilon \\ &\leq -(n-1) - \tfrac{(\overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f)^2}{n-1} + \epsilon, \\ \textit{and } (b) \qquad \tfrac{\triangle_{\gamma(t_2)}f - \underline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f}{t_2 - t_0} &\leq -(n-1) - \tfrac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})} \cdot \textstyle\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}} (\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2 + \epsilon \\ &\leq -(n-1) - \tfrac{(\underline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f)^2}{n-1} + \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

then (i), (ii) are deduced from (a) and (b).

Proof of (a) and (b): by the definition of Ricci curvature bounded below by $n-1$, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $t_0 \in I$, there exists $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ and a family of continuous functions $\{g_{\gamma(t)}\}_{t_0 - \tilde{\tau} < t < t_0 + \tilde{\tau}}$ on $\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}$ such that the family satisfies Condition (RC) on $\gamma|_{(t_0 - \tilde{\tau}, t_0 + \tilde{\tau})}$ and

$$(n-1) \cdot \fint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} g_{\gamma(t)}(\eta) \geq (n-1) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \qquad \forall t \in (t_0 - \tilde{\tau}, t_0 + \tilde{\tau}).$$

By Lemma 2.7, for any sequence $\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j \to 0^+$, any $t_1 \in (t_0 - \tilde{\tau}, t_0) \cap D$ and $t_2 \in (t_0, t_0 + \tilde{\tau}) \cap D$, there exists isometries $T_1 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_1)}$ and $T_2 : \Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)} \to \Lambda_{\gamma(t_2)}$, and a subsequence $\varepsilon := \{\varepsilon_j\} \subset \{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}$, such that Lemma 2.7 (i) and (ii) hold.

To prove (a), consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(l) \quad &:= l^2 \cdot \overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta) - \tfrac{(l-1)^2}{t_0 - t_1} + \tfrac{l^2 + l + 1}{3} \cdot (t_0 - t_1) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta) \\ &= [\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta) + \tfrac{1}{t_1 - t_0} - \tfrac{1}{3}(t_1 - t_0) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)] \cdot l^2 \\ &\quad -[\tfrac{2}{t_1 - t_0} + \tfrac{1}{3}(t_1 - t_0) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)] \cdot l \\ &\quad +[\tfrac{1}{t_1 - t_0} - \tfrac{1}{3}(t_1 - t_0) \cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)] \\ &=: A \cdot l^2 + B \cdot l + C, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1, $\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)$ is bounded uniformly with respect to η, so $A<0, B>0, -\frac{B}{2A}>0$, as $t_1\to t_0^-$. Thus

$$F(l)=A(l+\frac{B}{2A})^2+C-\frac{B^2}{4A},$$

taking $l_0=-\frac{B}{2A}$,

$$F(l_0)=C-\frac{B^2}{4A}.$$

Denote $\tau:=t_1-t_0, G:=g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta), H:=\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)$, straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
F(l_0)-H &= (\tfrac{1}{\tau}-\tfrac{1}{3}\tau G)-\frac{(\frac{2}{\tau}+\frac{1}{3}\tau G)^2}{4(H+\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{3}\tau G)}-H\\
&= -\tfrac{1}{3}G\cdot\tau+\frac{(-\frac{2}{3}G-H^2)\cdot\tau+\frac{1}{3}GH\cdot\tau^2-\frac{1}{36}G^2\cdot\tau^3}{1+H\cdot\tau-\frac{1}{3}G\cdot\tau^2}\\
&= -\tfrac{1}{3}G\cdot\tau-(\tfrac{2}{3}G+H^2)\cdot\tau+\frac{(GH+H^3)\cdot\tau-(\frac{1}{4}G^2+\frac{1}{3}GH^2)\cdot\tau^2}{1+H\cdot\tau-\frac{1}{3}G\cdot\tau^2}\cdot\tau\\
&=: -(G+H^2)\cdot\tau+\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta)\cdot\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta)=0$ uniformly with respect to η, since G,H are bounded uniformly with respect to η.

By Lemma 2.7 (i), and noting $\tau:=t_1-t_0<0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Hess_{\gamma(t_1)}f(T_1\eta)-\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)}{t_1-t_0} &\le \frac{F(l_0)-H}{\tau}\\
&= -(G+H^2)+\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta)\\
&= -g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)-(\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2+\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta),
\end{aligned}$$

integrating over $\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}$ on both sides of the above inequality, and noting the isometry $T_1:\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}\to\Lambda_{\gamma(t_1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t_1)}f-\overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f}{t_1-t_0} \le\ & -\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)\\
&-\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}(\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2\\
&+\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}(\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2\\
\ge\ &\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot(\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta))^2\\
=\ &\frac{1}{n-1}\cdot[\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}\overline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)]^2\\
=\ &\frac{(\overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f)^2}{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote $\widetilde{\varrho}(\tau;t_0):=\frac{n-1}{\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)})}\cdot\int_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t_0)}}\varrho(\tau;t_0,\eta)$, then $\lim_{\tau\to 0^+}\widetilde{\varrho}(\tau;t_0)=0$. In particular, for the given ϵ, there exists $0<\widehat{\tau}:=\widehat{\tau}(t_0,\epsilon)<\widetilde{\tau}$ such that $|\widetilde{\varrho}(\tau;t_0)|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for any $t_1\in(t_0-\widehat{\tau},t_0)\cap D$.

Combining the above estimates, (a) is obtained.

To prove (b), similarly, consider

$$\begin{aligned}F(l)\ &:=l^2\cdot\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)+\tfrac{(l-1)^2}{t_2-t_0}-\tfrac{l^2+l+1}{3}\cdot(t_2-t_0)\cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)\\ &=[\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)+\tfrac{1}{t_2-t_0}-\tfrac{1}{3}(t_2-t_0)\cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)]\cdot l^2\\ &\quad-[\tfrac{2}{t_2-t_0}+\tfrac{1}{3}(t_2-t_0)\cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)]\cdot l\\ &\quad+[\tfrac{1}{t_2-t_0}-\tfrac{1}{3}(t_2-t_0)\cdot g_{\gamma(t_0)}(\eta)]\\ &=:A\cdot l^2+B\cdot l+C,\end{aligned}$$

compared with the proof of (a), now the difference is $A>0,B<0$ as $t_2\to t_0^+$, and $\tau:=t_2-t_0>0$. By Lemma 2.7 (ii), still

$$\frac{Hess_{\gamma(t_2)}f(T_2\eta)-\underline{Hess}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f(\eta)}{t_2-t_0}\le\frac{F(l_0)-H}{\tau}$$

the remains are the same as that of (a).

Proof of (i): by (a) and (b), for any sequence $\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\to 0^+$, and any $t_0\in I$, there exists $\tau:=\tau(t_0)$ such that the following holds: for any $t_1\in(t_0-\tau,t_0)\cap D$ and $t_2\in(t_0,t_0+\tau)\cap D$, there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon:=\{\varepsilon_j\}\subset\{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_j\}$ such that

$$\triangle_{\gamma(t_1)}f>\overline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f\ge\underline{\triangle}^{\varepsilon}_{\gamma(t_0)}f>\triangle_{\gamma(t_2)}f,$$

then (i) follows from the compactness argument and the denseness of $D\subset I$.

Proof of (ii): just take $t_0\in D$ and let $t_1\to t_0^-$, $t_2\to t_0^+$ in (a) and (b).

Lemma 3.2 (see also [ZZ1, Theorem 3.3]) *For any $t\in D$,*

$$(n-1)\cdot\cot(\pi-l+t)=-(n-1)\cdot\cot(l-t)\le\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f\le(n-1)\cdot\cot t.$$

Sketch of the proof (the details are contained in the appendix).

Denote $w(t):=\frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f}{n-1}$, then Lemma 3.1 reads that

(i) $w(t)$ is decreasing in $t\in D$, that is, for any $t_1,t_2\in D$ with $t_1<t_2$,

$$w(t_1)>w(t_2),$$

and (ii) for any $t_0\in D$,

$$\limsup_{D\ni t\to t_0}\frac{w(t)-w(t_0)}{t-t_0}\le-1-(w(t_0))^2.$$

In addition, since f is regular on D, by Lemma 2.1,

$$w(t) \leq \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)} \sim \frac{1}{t}, \qquad\qquad D \ni t \to 0^+.$$

Thus, by successive approximations, for any $t \in D$,

$$w(t) \leq \cot t, \qquad\qquad \triangle_{\gamma(t)} f \leq (n-1) \cdot \cot t.$$

Finally, by the triangle inequality, for any $t \in D$,

$$\triangle_{\gamma(t)} f \geq -(n-1) \cdot \cot(l-t).$$

Lemma 3.3 *Let* $n \geq 2$, $0 < \theta \ll 1$, *then there exists a positive function* $\varphi(\theta)$ *with* $\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \varphi(\theta) = 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\leq \textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)} [(n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} (Hess_{\gamma(t)} \widetilde{f}(\eta))^2 d\eta - \frac{(\Diamond_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f})^2}{n-1}] dt \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \Phi(\theta; n), & for \ \ \pi - \varphi(\theta) \leq l \leq \pi, \\ \Psi(\theta; n), & for \ \ \theta \leq l \leq \pi - \varphi(\theta). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \Phi(\theta; n) = 0$, $\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \Psi(\theta; n) = +\infty$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of the inequality in Lemma 3.1(ii) by $\sin^2 t$ and integrating with respect to $t \in [\theta, l(1-\theta)]$, and by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\leq \textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)} [(n-1) \cdot \oint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}} (Hess_{\gamma(t)} \widetilde{f}(\eta))^2 d\eta - \frac{(\Diamond_{\gamma(t)} \tilde{f})^2}{n-1}] dt \\ &\leq \textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)} [-(n-1) - \frac{(\triangle_{\gamma(t)} f)^2}{n-1}] \cdot \sin^2 t \ dt \\ &\quad - \textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)} \limsup_{D \ni t' \to t^+} \frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')} f - \triangle_{\gamma(t)} f}{t'-t} \cdot \sin^2 t \ dt \\ &=: I_2(\theta, l) - I_1(\theta, l). \end{aligned}$$

Now to estimate $I_1(\theta, l)$, take $\theta_0, l(1-\theta_1) \in D$ with $\theta_0, \theta_1 \to \theta^+$.

In case of $l(1-\theta) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, for any $N = 1, 2, ...$, take a partition of $[\theta_0, l(1-\theta_1)]$: $\theta_0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_i < t_{i+1} < ... < t_{N_0-1} < t_{N_0} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} < t_{N_0+1} < ... < t_N = l(1-\theta_1)$ with $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^N \subset D$ and $\lim_{N \to \infty}[\max_{0 \leq i \leq N-1}(t_{i+1} - t_i)] = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 (i), $\triangle_{\gamma(t)} f$ is decreasing in $t \in D$, thus, one can show that for any $i = 0, 1, .., N-1$,

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \limsup_{D \ni t' \to t^+} \frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')} f - \triangle_{\gamma(t)} f}{t'-t} dt \geq \triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})} f - \triangle_{\gamma(t_i)} f,$$

furthermore, by the uniformly continuity of $\sin t$ and Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\theta_0}^{l(1-\theta_1)} \limsup_{D\ni t'\to t^+} \frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')}f-\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f}{t'-t}\cdot\sin^2 t\ dt\\
\geq\ &\limsup_{N\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f-\triangle_{\gamma(t_i)}f)\cdot\sin^2 t_i\\
=\ &\limsup_{N\to\infty}\{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}[\sin^2 t_{i+1}\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f-\sin^2 t_i\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_i)}f]\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(\sin^2 t_i-\sin^2 t_{i+1})\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f\}\\
=\ &\limsup_{N\to\infty}\{[\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(l(1-\theta_1))}f-\sin^2\theta_0\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(\theta_0)}f]\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i-t_{i+1})+o(t_i-t_{i+1})]\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f\}\\
=\ &\limsup_{N\to\infty}\{[\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(l(1-\theta_1))}f-\sin^2\theta_0\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(\theta_0)}f]\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=0}^{N_0-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i-t_{i+1})+o(t_i-t_{i+1})]\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=N_0}^{N-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i-t_{i+1})+o(t_i-t_{i+1})]\cdot\triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f\}\\
\geq\ &\limsup_{N\to\infty}\{[\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot(n-1)\cot(\pi-\theta_1)-\sin^2\theta_0\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_0]\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=0}^{N_0-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i-t_{i+1})+o(t_i-t_{i+1})]\cdot(n-1)\cot t_{i+1}\\
&\qquad\qquad+\sum_{i=N_0}^{N-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i-t_{i+1})+o(t_i-t_{i+1})]\cdot(n-1)\cot(\pi-l+t_{i+1})\}\\
=\ &[-\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_1-\sin^2\theta_0\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_0]\\
&-\int_{\theta_0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\sin(2t)\cdot(n-1)\cot t\ dt-\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l(1-\theta_1)}\sin(2t)\cdot(n-1)\cot(\pi-l+t)\ dt,
\end{aligned}$$

letting $\theta_0,\theta_1\to\theta^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(\theta,l)\ &=\int_{\theta}^{l(1-\theta)}\limsup_{D\ni t'\to t^+}\frac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')}f-\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f}{t'-t}\cdot\sin^2 t\ dt\\
&\geq-(n-1)[\sin^2(l(1-\theta))\cdot\cot\theta+\sin\theta\cdot\cos\theta\\
&\qquad\qquad+\int_{\theta}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\sin(2t)\cdot\cot t\ dt+\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l(1-\theta)}\sin(2t)\cdot\cot(\pi-l+t)\ dt],\\
&=:J_1(\theta,l).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in case of $l(1-\theta)\leq\frac{\pi}{2}$, for any $N=1,2,...,$ take a partition of $[\theta_0,l(1-\theta_1)]$:

$\theta_0 = t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_i < t_{i+1} < ... < t_N = l(1-\theta_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\theta_0}^{l(1-\theta_1)} \limsup_{D\ni t'\to t^+} \tfrac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')}f-\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f}{t'-t} \cdot \sin^2 t \; dt \\
\geq\;& \limsup_{N\to\infty}\{[\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot \triangle_{\gamma(l(1-\theta_1))}f - \sin^2\theta_0 \cdot \triangle_{\gamma(\theta_0)}f] \\
&\qquad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i - t_{i+1}) + o(t_i - t_{i+1})]\cdot \triangle_{\gamma(t_{i+1})}f\} \\
\geq\;& \limsup_{N\to\infty}\{[\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot (n-1)\cot(\pi-\theta_1) - \sin^2\theta_0\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_0] \\
&\qquad + \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}[\sin(2t_{i+1})\cdot(t_i - t_{i+1}) + o(t_i - t_{i+1})]\cdot (n-1)\cot t_{i+1}\} \\
=\;& [-\sin^2(l(1-\theta_1))\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_1 - \sin^2\theta_0\cdot(n-1)\cot\theta_0] \\
&- \int_{\theta_0}^{l(1-\theta_1)} \sin(2t)\cdot(n-1)\cot t \; dt,
\end{aligned}$$

letting $\theta_0, \theta_1 \to \theta^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(\theta,l) &= \int_{\theta}^{l(1-\theta)} \limsup_{D\ni t'\to t^+} \tfrac{\triangle_{\gamma(t')}f-\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f}{t'-t} \cdot \sin^2 t \; dt \\
&\geq -(n-1)[\sin^2(l(1-\theta))\cdot\cot\theta + \sin\theta\cdot\cos\theta + \int_{\theta}^{l(1-\theta)} 2\cos^2 t \; dt], \\
&=: J_1(\theta,l).
\end{aligned}$$

Next to estimate $I_2(\theta,l)$. Note that generally, $I_2(\theta,l) < 0$.

In case of $l(1-\theta) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, by Lemma 3.2,

$$|\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f| \geq \begin{cases} \cot(\pi - l + t) > 0, & for\;\; 0 < t < l - \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ 0, & for\;\; l - \frac{\pi}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ |\cot t| > 0, & for\;\; \frac{\pi}{2} < t < l, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(\theta,l) &= \int_{\theta}^{l(1-\theta)}[-(n-1) - \tfrac{(\triangle_{\gamma(t)}f)^2}{n-1}]\cdot\sin^2 t \; dt \\
&\leq -(n-1)\cdot[\int_{\theta}^{l(1-\theta)} \sin^2 t \; dt \\
&\qquad + \int_{\theta}^{l-\frac{\pi}{2}} \cot^2(\pi - l + t)\cdot\sin^2 t \; dt + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l(1-\theta)} \cot^2 t\cdot\sin^2 t \; dt] \\
&=: J_2(\theta,l).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally to estimate $I_2(\theta,l) - I_1(\theta,l)$.

To consider $J_1(\theta,l)$ in case of $l(1-\theta) > \frac{\pi}{2}$, denote $H(\theta,l) := \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{l(1-\theta)} \sin(2t)\cdot\cot(\pi - l + t)\; dt$, since

$$\lim_{l\geq\pi-\theta,\;\theta\to 0^+} \sin^2(l(1-\theta))\cdot\cot\theta = 0, \qquad \lim_{\theta\to 0^+} H(\theta,\pi) = H(0,\pi)$$

and for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\lim_{l\to\pi^-} H(\theta,l) = H(\theta,\pi),$$

there exists a positive function $\varphi_1(\theta)$ with $\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}\varphi_1(\theta)=0$ such that for $\pi-\varphi_1(\theta)\le l\le\pi$,

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}|J_1(\theta,l)-[-(n-1)\int_0^\pi \sin(2t)\cdot\cot t\ dt]|=\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}|J_1(\theta,l)-[-(n-1)\pi]|=0.$$

Similarly, consider $J_2(\theta,l)$ in case of $l(1-\theta)>\frac{\pi}{2}$, there exists a positive function $\varphi_2(\theta)$ with $\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}\varphi_2(\theta)=0$ such that for $\pi-\varphi_2(\theta)\le l\le\pi$,

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}|J_2(\theta,l)-[-(n-1)\int_0^\pi(\sin^2 t+\cos^2 t)\ dt]|=\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}|J_2(\theta,l)-[-(n-1)\pi]|=0.$$

Then, take $\varphi(\theta):=\min\{\theta,\varphi_1(\theta),\varphi_2(\theta)\}$, for $\pi-\varphi(\theta)\le l\le\pi$,

$$0\le\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}[I_2(\theta,l)-I_1(\theta,l)]\le\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}[J_2(\theta,l)-J_1(\theta,l)]=0.$$

Besides, note that generally,

$$0\le I_2(\theta,l)-I_1(\theta,l)\le 0-J_1(\theta,l)\le(n-1)[\cot\theta+\theta+\pi+\frac{\pi}{2}\cdot\cot(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta)].$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

Lemma 3.4 *Let* $n\ge 2$, $0<\theta\ll 1$, *then there exists a positive function* $\varphi(\theta)$ *with* $\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}\varphi(\theta)=0$ *such that*

$$\begin{array}{rl} 0 & \le\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}[⨏_{\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\xi))^2d\xi-(\frac{1}{n}\triangle_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f})^2]dt \\ & \le\begin{cases}\Phi(\theta;n), & for\ \ \pi-\varphi(\theta)\le l\le\pi,\\ \Psi(\theta;n), & for\ \ \theta\le l\le\pi-\varphi(\theta).\end{cases}\end{array}$$

where $\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}\Phi(\theta;n)=0$, $\lim_{\theta\to 0^+}\Psi(\theta;n)=+\infty$.

Proof. For any $t\in(\theta,l(1-\theta))\cap D$, denote all the eigenvalues of $Hess_{\gamma(t)}\tilde{f}$ by $\lambda_1=-\cos t,\lambda_i,2\le i\le n$ (see Remark 2 in section 2), then

$$\triangle_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}=\sum_{1\le i\le n}\lambda_i=-\cos t+\sum_{2\le i\le n}\lambda_i,\qquad \diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}=\sum_{2\le i\le n}\lambda_i.$$

By [HX, p.277],

$$\begin{array}{rl} & ⨏_{\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\xi))^2d\xi \\ = & \frac{1}{n(n+2)}\cdot[\sum_{1\le i\le n}3\lambda_i^2+2\sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\lambda_i\lambda_j] \\ = & \frac{1}{n(n+2)}\cdot[(3\cos^2 t-2\cos t\cdot\sum_{2\le i\le n}\lambda_i)+(\sum_{2\le i\le n}3\lambda_i^2+2\sum_{2\le i<j\le n}\lambda_i\lambda_j)],\end{array}$$

$$⨏_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\eta))^2d\eta=\frac{1}{(n-1)(n+1)}\cdot[\sum_{2\le i\le n}3\lambda_i^2+2\sum_{2\le i<j\le n}\lambda_i\lambda_j].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}[\fint_{\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\xi))^2 d\xi-(\frac{1}{n}\triangle_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f})^2]dt\\
=\quad&\textstyle\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}\{\frac{1}{n(n+2)}\cdot[(3\cos^2 t-2\cos t\cdot\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f})+(n-1)(n+1)\fint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\eta))^2 d\eta]\\
&\qquad\textstyle-[-\frac{1}{n}\cos t+\frac{1}{n}\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}]^2\}dt\\
=\quad&\textstyle\frac{2(n-1)}{n^2(n+2)}\cdot\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}[\frac{1}{n-1}\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}+\cos t]^2 dt\\
&\textstyle+\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n(n+2)}\cdot\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}[\fint_{\Lambda_{\gamma(t)}}(Hess_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}(\eta))^2 d\eta-(\frac{1}{n-1}\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f})^2]dt\\
=:\quad& I_1(\theta,l)+I_2(\theta;l),
\end{aligned}$$

Now to estimate $I_1(\theta,l)$. By Lemma 2.2 and 3.2, for any $t\in(0,l)$,

$$0=-\sin t\cdot\cot t+\cos t\le\frac{1}{n-1}\diamondsuit_{\gamma(t)}\widetilde{f}+\cos t\le-\sin t\cdot\cot(\pi-l+t)+\cos t,$$

$$I_1(\theta,l)\le\frac{2(n-1)}{n^2(n+2)}\cdot\int_\theta^{l(1-\theta)}[-\sin t\cdot\cot(\pi-l+t)+\cos t]^2 dt=:J(\theta,l),$$

Since $\lim_{l\to\pi^-}J(\theta,l)=J(\theta,\pi)=0$ for any small $\theta>0$, there exists a positive function $\varphi(\theta)$ with $\lim_{\theta\to0^+}\varphi(\theta)=0$ such that for $\pi-\varphi(\theta)\le l\le\pi$,

$$\lim_{\theta\to0^+}J(\theta,l)=0.$$

Besides, note that generally, as $t\in[\theta,l(1-\theta)]$,

$$|\sin t\cdot\cot(\pi-l+t)|\le\begin{cases}\cos(\pi-l+t)\le1, & for\ \ \theta<\pi-l+t\le\frac{\pi}{2},\\[2ex] \sin t\cdot|\cot(\pi-l\theta)|\le\cot(\theta^2), & for\ \ \frac{\pi}{2}\le\pi-l+t\le\pi-l\theta,\end{cases}$$

$$J(\theta,l)\le\frac{2(n-1)}{n^2(n+2)}\cdot\pi[2+\cot(\theta^2)]^2.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.3, the proof is completed.

4 An L^2 version of Toponogov triangle comparison

Convention Hereafter, let $Ric(M)\ge n-1$, $Vol(M)>\omega_n-\delta$.

Notation Let $p,x\in M$, $v\in\Sigma_p$, $\xi\in\Sigma_x$, $\Gamma\subset\Sigma_x$, $\theta,\hat{\theta}\to0^+$, $0\le r_1<r_2\le\pi$, $0^+\leftarrow r<l\le\pi$, $R>0$, denote

$$B_x(R):=\{y\in M\mid |xy|<R\},\qquad B_x^\Gamma(R):=\{y\in M\mid |xy|<R,\ and\ \exists\ some\ \Uparrow_x^y\in\Gamma\},$$

$$A_x[r_1,r_2]:=\{y\in M\mid r_1\le|xy|\le r_2\},\ A_x^\Gamma[r_1,r_2]:=\{y\in M\mid r_1\le|xy|\le r_2,\exists\, some\ \Uparrow_x^y\in\Gamma\},$$

similarly, $B_1(R)$, $B_1^\Gamma(R)$, $A_1[r_1,r_2]$, $A_1^\Gamma[r_1,r_2]$ are defined for the $n-$sphere S^n with sectional curvature one,

$$c(v):=\sup\{t\ge0\mid |p\exp_p(tv)|=t\},$$

(note that $c(\uparrow_p^x)$ is the same for any choice of $\uparrow_p^x \in \Uparrow_p^x$, thus $c(\Uparrow_p^x)$ is well defined.)

$$W_{p,\theta} := \{x \in M \mid |px| < (1-\theta)c(\Uparrow_p^x)\} \setminus \bar{B}_p(\theta),$$

$$\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} := \{v \in \Sigma_p \mid 0 < c(v) \le \pi - \hat{\theta}\}, \qquad \Gamma^c_{p,\hat{\theta}} := \{v \in \Sigma_p \mid c(v) > \pi - \hat{\theta}\},$$

$$C_{p,\hat{\theta}} := \{x \in M \mid |px| = c(\Uparrow_p^x) \le \pi - \hat{\theta}\},$$

$$V_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} := \{x \in M \mid |px| \ge (1-\theta)c(\Uparrow_p^x) \text{ and } \Uparrow_p^x \subset \Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}}\},$$

$$V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} := \{x \in M \mid |px| \ge (1-\theta)c(\Uparrow_p^x) \text{ and } \Uparrow_p^x \subset \Gamma^c_{p,\hat{\theta}}\},$$

$$c(\xi) := \sup\{t \ge 0 \mid |x \exp_x(t\xi)| = t\}, \qquad l_\xi := \min\{l, c(\xi)\},$$

$$\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l} := \{\xi \in \Sigma_x \mid l_\xi > r \text{ and } \sigma_\xi[r, l_\xi] \subset W_{p,\theta}\},$$

$$h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s) := (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(r)\cos(s-r) + \frac{(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(l_\xi) - (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(r)\cos(l_\xi - r)}{\sin(l_\xi - r)}\sin(s-r), \ \ s \in [r, l_\xi].$$

$\Phi(\star; *; \bullet, \bullet, ...)$ is a positive function depending on $\star, *$ and parameters $\bullet, \bullet, ...$ with the property that given any $\epsilon > 0$ and parameters $\bullet, \bullet, ...$, there exists a $\hat{*} := *(\epsilon, \bullet, \bullet, ...)$ and a $\hat{\star} := \star(\epsilon, \hat{*}, \bullet, \bullet, ...)$ such that $\Phi(\hat{\star}; \hat{*}; \bullet, \bullet, ...) < \epsilon$.

$\Phi(\star; \bullet, \bullet, ...)$, $\Phi(\star_1, \star_2; \bullet, \bullet, ...)$, $\Phi(\star; *_1, *_2; \bullet, \bullet, ...)$ are similar.

$C(\star, *)$ is a constant depending on $\star, *$.

Lemma 4.1 [LV, O, S2] *Let $Ric(M) \ge n-1$, $\Gamma \subset \Sigma_p = S^{n-1}$ be any measurable subset, and $0 < r < R \le \pi$, $0 < s < S \le \pi$, $r \le s$, $R \le S$, then*

(i) (Bishop absolute volume comparison)

$$\mathcal{H}^n B_p^\Gamma(r) \le \mathcal{H}^n B_1^\Gamma(r) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Gamma) \cdot \int_0^r \sin^{n-1} t \, dt,$$

$$\mathcal{H}^n A_p^\Gamma[r, R] \le \mathcal{H}^n A_1^\Gamma[r, R] = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Gamma) \cdot \int_r^R \sin^{n-1} t \, dt;$$

(ii) (Bishop-Gromov relative volume comparison)

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}^n B_p^\Gamma(r)}{\mathcal{H}^n B_p^\Gamma(R)} \ge \frac{\mathcal{H}^n B_1^\Gamma(r)}{\mathcal{H}^n B_1^\Gamma(R)}, \qquad \frac{\mathcal{H}^n A_p^\Gamma[r, R]}{\mathcal{H}^n A_p^\Gamma[s, S]} \ge \frac{\mathcal{H}^n A_1^\Gamma[r, R]}{\mathcal{H}^n A_1^\Gamma[s, S]}.$$

Lemma 4.2 [C, p.185 (2.5, 2.6)]

(i)Suppose that $Vol(M) > \omega_n - \delta$, by Bishop absolute volume comparison, for all $p \in M$, there exists a $q \in M$ with $d(p,q) > \pi - \epsilon$ (with $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \epsilon(\delta, n) = 0$);

(ii)By Bishop-Gromov relative volume comparison, if $p, q \in M$ with $d(p,q) > \pi - \delta$, then $\forall x \in M, d(p,x) + d(x,q) - d(p,q) < \epsilon$ (with $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \epsilon(\delta, n) = 0$).

Lemma 4.3 *(i) $diam(V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}}) \le \Phi(\theta, \hat{\theta}; n)$ where $\lim_{\theta,\hat{\theta} \to 0^+} \Phi(\theta, \hat{\theta}; n) = 0$;*

(ii) $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} \le \Phi(\delta; \hat{\theta}, n)$ where $\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \Phi(\delta; \hat{\theta}, n) = 0$.

Proof. (i) For any $x \in V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}}$, there exists a geodesic $\overline{py}$ such that $x \in \overline{py}$, $|py| = c(\Uparrow_p^x) > \pi - \hat{\theta}$, $|px| \ge (1-\theta)c(\Uparrow_p^x) > (1-\theta)(\pi - \hat{\theta})$, and $|xy| \le \theta c(\Uparrow_p^x) \le \theta\pi$.

For any $x_1 \in V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}}$ with $x_1 \neq x$, by Lemma 4.2 (ii), $|px_1| + |x_1y| < |py| + \epsilon(\hat{\theta}, n)$, $|x_1y| < |py| - |px_1| + \epsilon(\hat{\theta}, n) \leq \pi - (1-\theta)(\pi - \hat{\theta}) + \epsilon(\hat{\theta}, n)$.

Thus, $|xx_1| \leq |xy| + |x_1y| \leq \hat{\theta} + \theta(2\pi - \hat{\theta}) + \epsilon(\hat{\theta}, n) =: \Phi(\theta, \hat{\theta}; n)$.

(ii) By Lemma 4.1(i),

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_n - \delta < Vol(M) \quad &= Vol(B_p^{\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}}}(\pi - \hat{\theta})) + Vol(B_p^{\Gamma^c_{p,\hat{\theta}}}(\pi)) \\
&\leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} \cdot \textstyle\int_0^{\pi-\hat{\theta}} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma^c_{p,\hat{\theta}} \cdot \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt \\
&\leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} \cdot \textstyle\int_0^{\pi-\hat{\theta}} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt + (\omega_{n-1} - \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}}) \cdot \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt \\
&= \omega_n - \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} \cdot \textstyle\int_{\pi-\hat{\theta}}^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt,
\end{aligned}$$

thus, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{p,\hat{\theta}} \leq \frac{\delta}{\int_{\pi-\hat{\theta}}^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t \; dt} =: \Phi(\delta; \hat{\theta}, n)$.

Lemma 4.4 $\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [Hess_{\sigma_\xi(s)} \widetilde{f}(\sigma_\xi^+(s)) + \cos f(\sigma_\xi(s))]^2 ds \leq \Phi(\delta; \theta; n)$.

Proof. Noting that $Ric(M) \geq n-1$ and $\widetilde{f}$ is regular almost everywhere on M, by Lemmas 3.4, 4.1(i) and 4.3(ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\fint_{\Sigma_x} (Hess_x \widetilde{f}(\xi))^2 d\xi - (\frac{1}{n} \triangle_x \widetilde{f})^2] dx \\
\leq \quad & \textstyle\int_{\Gamma_{p,\varphi(\theta)} \sqcup \Gamma^c_{p,\varphi(\theta)}} dv \cdot \int_\theta^{c(v)\cdot(1-\theta)} [\fint_{\Sigma_{\gamma_v(t)}} (Hess_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f}(\xi))^2 d\xi - (\frac{1}{n} \triangle_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f})^2] dt \\
\leq \quad & [\Phi(\delta; \varphi(\theta), n) \cdot \Psi(\theta; n) + \omega_{n-1} \cdot \Phi(\theta; n)] \\
=: \quad & \Phi(\delta; \theta; n).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.3(ii), and the expressions for $\triangle_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f}$, $\diamondsuit_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f}$ and the estimate for $I_1(\theta, l)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\fint_{\Sigma_x} (Hess_x \widetilde{f}(\xi) + \cos f(x))^2 d\xi] dx \\
= \quad & \textstyle\int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\fint_{\Sigma_x} (Hess_x \widetilde{f}(\xi))^2 d\xi - (\frac{1}{n} \triangle_x \widetilde{f})^2] dx + \int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\frac{1}{n} \triangle_x \widetilde{f} + \cos f(x)]^2 dx \\
\leq \quad & \textstyle\Phi(\delta; \theta; n) + \int_{\Gamma_{p,\varphi(\theta)} \sqcup \Gamma^c_{p,\varphi(\theta)}} dv \cdot \int_\theta^{c(v)\cdot(1-\theta)} [\frac{1}{n} \triangle_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f} + \cos f(\gamma_v(t))]^2 dt \\
= \quad & \textstyle\Phi(\delta; \theta; n) + (\frac{n-1}{n})^2 \int_{\Gamma_{p,\varphi(\theta)} \sqcup \Gamma^c_{p,\varphi(\theta)}} dv \cdot \int_\theta^{c(v)\cdot(1-\theta)} [\frac{1}{n-1} \diamondsuit_{\gamma_v(t)} \widetilde{f} + \cos t]^2 dt \\
\leq \quad & \textstyle\Phi(\delta; \theta; n) + (\frac{n-1}{n})^2 [\Phi(\delta; \varphi(\theta), n) \cdot \Psi(\theta; n) + \omega_{n-1} \cdot \Phi(\theta; n)] \\
=: \quad & \Phi(\delta; \theta; n).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since geodesics do not branch in an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [Hess_{\sigma_\xi(s)} \widetilde{f}(\sigma_\xi^+(s)) + \cos f(\sigma_\xi(s))]^2 ds \\
\leq\ & \textstyle l \int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\int_{\Sigma_x} (Hess_x \widetilde{f}(\xi) + + \cos f(x))^2 d\xi] dx \\
\leq\ & \textstyle\frac{\pi}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{W_{p,\theta}} [\oint_{\Sigma_x} (Hess_x \widetilde{f}(\xi) + + \cos f(x))^2 d\xi] dx \\
\leq\ & \textstyle\frac{\pi}{\omega_{n-1}} \cdot \Phi(\delta; \theta; n) =: \Phi(\delta; \theta; n).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5 *For any $x \in M$ and $\xi \in \Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}$, $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s)$ is differentiable and $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s)$ is lipschitz for $s \in [r, l_\xi]$.*

Proof. For any $s \in [r, l_\xi]$, by Lemma 2.2 (i),

$$-\tfrac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh[\sqrt{-k_0}(f\circ\sigma_\xi)(s)]}{\sinh[\sqrt{-k_0}(f\circ\sigma_\xi)(s)]} - 1 \leq (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)''(s) \leq \tfrac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh[\sqrt{-k_0}(c(\Uparrow_p^{\sigma_\xi(s)}) - (f\circ\sigma_\xi)(s))]}{\sinh[\sqrt{-k_0}(c(\Uparrow_p^{\sigma_\xi(s)}) - (f\circ\sigma_\xi)(s))]} + 1,$$

and by the definitions of $\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}$ and $W_{p,\theta}$,

$$(f \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) \geq \theta, \qquad c(\Uparrow_p^{\sigma_\xi(s)}) - (f \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) \geq \theta \cdot c(\Uparrow_p^{\sigma_\xi(s)}) \geq \theta \cdot (f \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) \geq \theta \cdot \theta = \theta^2,$$

then,

$$c_1(\theta, k_0) := -\frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}\pi)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}\theta)} - 1 \leq (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)''(s) \leq \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}\pi)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}\theta^2)} + 1 =: c_2(\theta, k_0).$$

By [PP, 1.3 (1)], $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - \frac{1}{2}c_2 s^2$ is concave. Besides, from the proof of Lemma 2.2 (i), $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s)$ exists. Then, for any $r \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq l_\xi$,

$$(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s_2) - (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s_1) \leq c_2(s_2 - s_1),$$

similarly, $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s_2) - (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s_1) \geq c_1(s_2 - s_1)$. Thus, $(\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s)$ is lipschitz for $s \in [r, l_\xi]$.

Lemma 4.6 (see also [C, Lemma 1.4, 1.15]) (Toponogov triangle comparison) *Let $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq l_0 < \pi$, then for any $0 < l \leq l_0$,*

$$(i) \qquad \int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \leq \Phi(\delta; \theta; l_0, n),$$

$$(ii) \qquad \int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_{\tilde{f}}}{\partial s}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \leq \Phi(\delta; \theta; l_0, n).$$

Proof. The proof is a modification of the argument in the last two paragraphs of that of [C, Lemma 1.4].

For any $x \in M$, $\xi \in \Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}$ and $s \in [r, l_\xi]$, set

$$h(s) := (\tilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s).$$

Easily $h(r) = h(l_\xi) = 0$, and by Lemma 4.5, $h(s) \cdot h'(s)$ is lipschitz for $s \in [r, l_\xi]$, then

$$\int_r^{l_\xi} [(h')^2 + h \cdot h'']ds = (h \cdot h')(l_\xi) - (h \cdot h')(r) = 0, \qquad -\int_r^{l_\xi} h \cdot h'' ds = \int_r^{l_\xi} (h')^2 ds.$$

Besides, since $h'(s)$ is lipschitz for $s \in [r, l_\xi]$, the Wirtinger's inequality holds,

$$-\int_r^{l_\xi} h'' \cdot h ds = \int_r^{l_\xi} (h')^2 ds \geq (\frac{\pi}{l_\xi - r})^2 \int_r^{l_\xi} h^2 ds.$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.4, using that $\frac{\partial^2 h_{\tilde{f}}}{\partial s^2} = -h_{\tilde{f}}$ and integrating over $\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}$ and M gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tfrac{\pi}{l_\xi - r})^2 \textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \\
\leq\ & -\textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)''(s) + h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)][(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]ds \\
=\ & \textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \\
& -\textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)''(s) + (\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s)][(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]ds \\
\leq\ & \textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \\
& +[\Phi(\delta; \theta; n)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot [\textstyle\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} ((\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s))^2 ds]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

note that $l_\xi - r < l \leq l_0 < \pi$, one has

$$\int_M dx \cdot \int_{\Sigma_{x,p,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(\widetilde{f} \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_{\tilde{f}}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \leq \frac{\Phi(\delta; \theta; n)}{[(\frac{\pi}{l_0})^2 - 1]^2} =: \Phi(\delta; \theta; l_0, n).$$

(i) is obtained, contained in this set of inequalities is also (ii).

Corollary 4.7 *Let* $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq l_0 < \pi$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset M$, $-1 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., m)$, $g := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \cos dist_{x_i}$, $h_g := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i h_{\cos dist_{x_i}}$, *then for any* $0 < l \leq l_0$,

$$(i) \qquad \int_M dx \cdot \int_{\cap_{i=1}^m \Sigma_{x,x_i,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(g \circ \sigma_\xi)(s) - h_g(\xi, s)]^2 ds \leq \Phi(\delta; \theta; l_0, m, n),$$

$$(ii) \qquad \int_M dx \cdot \int_{\cap_{i=1}^m \Sigma_{x,x_i,\theta,r,l}} d\xi \cdot \int_r^{l_\xi} [(g \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_g}{\partial s}(\xi, s)]^2 ds \leq \Phi(\delta; \theta; l_0, m, n).$$

5 Proof of the theorem

Notation and convention In this section, let $\mathcal{N}(*)$ be a non-increasing natural number valued function , and $\Phi_0(\star; *, n)$ be a positive function depending on $\star$ and parameters $*, n$ with $\lim_{\star \to 0^+} \Phi_0(\star; *, n) = 0$, and suppose

$$\beta_{C_{p,\hat{\theta}}}(a) \leq \frac{\mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta})}{a^{n-2}} + \frac{\Phi_0[\omega_n - Vol(M); \hat{\theta}, n]}{a^{n-1}}, \qquad for\ any\ p \in M,\ \hat{\theta}, a \to 0^+.$$

And denote

$\Uparrow(y,\Omega) := \{\uparrow_y^z \in \Sigma_y \mid z \in \Omega\}$, for any $y \in M$, and $\Omega \subset M$;

$\Gamma_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r),s} := \{v \in \Sigma_{y_1} \mid v \in \Uparrow_{y_1}^{y} \ \mathit{and} \ |y_1 y| = s \ \mathit{for\ some} \ y \in B_{y_2}(r)\}$, for any $y_1, y_2 \in M$, $0 < r \ll |y_1 y_2|$, and $s > 0$.

And a function $g : M \to R$ is called an *elementary function* generated by $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset M$ if $g = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \cos dist_{x_i}$ for some $-1 \le \alpha_i \le 1$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., m)$.

Lemma 5.1 *For any $y \in M$ and $r \in (0, \frac{\pi}{50})$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, (M \setminus W_{p,\theta}) \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi]) \le \Phi(\delta; \theta; r, \mathcal{N}, \Phi_0, n).$$

Proof. First to show that for any $\hat{\theta} > 0$, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, V_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi]) \le \Phi(\delta; \theta; \hat{\theta}, r, \mathcal{N}, \Phi_0, n)$.

Take $a = \pi\theta$, denote $\beta := \beta_{C_{p,\hat{\theta}}}(a)$, let $\{x_i \in C_{p,\hat{\theta}} \mid 1 \le i \le \beta\}$ be a largest possible set of points in $C_{p,\hat{\theta}}$ that are at least a pairwise distant from each other, then

$$C_{p,\hat{\theta}} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\beta} \bar{B}_{x_i}(2\pi\theta), \qquad V_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\beta} \bar{B}_{x_i}(3\pi\theta),$$

and let $i = 1, 2, ..., N_{y,r} (\le \beta)$ be such that $\bar{B}_{x_i}(3\pi\theta) \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi] \ne \emptyset$. Below suppose $0 < \theta \ll r$, then for any $1 \le i \le N_{y,r}$,

$$\frac{r}{2} < r - 6\pi\theta \le |yx_i| - 3\pi\theta \le |yx_i| + 3\pi\theta \le \frac{3}{4}\pi + 6\pi\theta < \frac{19}{25}\pi,$$

denote $\Gamma_i := \Uparrow (y, \bar{B}_{x_i}(3\pi\theta))$, then

$$\bar{B}_{x_i}(3\pi\theta) \subset A_y^{\Gamma_i}[|yx_i| - 3\pi\theta, |yx_i| + 3\pi\theta] \subset \bar{B}_{x_i}(9\pi\theta),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_n - \delta < VolM \ &= Vol\bar{B}_y^{\Gamma_i}(|yx_i| - 3\pi\theta) + VolA_y^{\Gamma_i}[|yx_i| - 3\pi\theta, |yx_i| + 3\pi\theta] \\
&\quad + VolA_y^{\Gamma_i}[|yx_i| + 3\pi\theta, \pi] + Vol\bar{B}_y^{\Sigma_y \setminus \Gamma_i}(\pi) \\
&\le \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \cdot \textstyle\int_0^{|yx_i| - 3\pi\theta} \sin^{n-1} t dt + Vol\bar{B}_{x_i}(9\pi\theta) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \cdot \textstyle\int_{|yx_i| + 3\pi\theta}^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t dt + (\omega_{n-1} - \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i) \cdot \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t dt \\
&\le \omega_n - \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \cdot \textstyle\int_{|yx_i| - 3\pi\theta}^{|yx_i| + 3\pi\theta} \sin^{n-1} t dt + \omega_{n-1} \cdot \int_0^{9\pi\theta} \sin^{n-1} t dt \\
&\le \omega_n - \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \cdot \sin^{n-1} \tfrac{r}{2} \cdot 6\pi\theta + \tfrac{\omega_{n-1}}{n}(9\pi\theta)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

thus, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \le \frac{1}{6\sin^{n-1}\frac{r}{2}} \cdot (\frac{9^n\pi^{n-1}\omega_{n-1}}{n} \cdot \theta^{n-1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\theta})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, V_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \cap A_y[r, \tfrac{3}{4}\pi]) \le \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_i \\
\le \quad & [\tfrac{\mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta})}{(\pi\theta)^{n-2}} + \tfrac{\Phi_0(\delta;\hat{\theta},n)}{(\pi\theta)^{n-1}}] \cdot \tfrac{1}{6\sin^{n-1}\frac{r}{2}} \cdot (\tfrac{9^n\pi^{n-1}\omega_{n-1}}{n} \cdot \theta^{n-1} + \tfrac{1}{\pi} \cdot \tfrac{\delta}{\theta}) \\
= \quad & \tfrac{1}{6\sin^{n-1}\frac{r}{2}} \cdot [\mathcal{N}(\hat{\theta}) \cdot (\tfrac{9^n\pi\omega_{n-1}}{n} \cdot \theta + \tfrac{1}{\pi^{n-1}} \cdot \tfrac{\delta}{\theta^{n-1}}) + \Phi_0(\delta;\hat{\theta},n) \cdot (\tfrac{9^n\omega_{n-1}}{n} + \tfrac{1}{\pi^n} \cdot \tfrac{\delta}{\theta^n})] \\
=: \quad & \Phi(\delta;\theta;\hat{\theta},r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,n).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, similarly, if $\bar{B}_p(\theta) \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi] \ne \emptyset$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, \bar{B}_p(\theta) \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi]) \le \frac{1}{3\sin^{n-1}\frac{r}{2}} \cdot (\frac{3^n\omega_{n-1}}{n} \cdot \theta^{n-1} + \frac{\delta}{\theta}) =: \Phi(\delta;\theta;r,n);$$

and if $V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \cap A_y[r, \frac{3}{4}\pi] \ne \emptyset$, by Lemma 4.3 (i), $V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \subset \bar{B}_q(\Phi(\theta,\hat{\theta};n))$ for some $q \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \cap A_y[r, \tfrac{3}{4}\pi]) \le \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, \bar{B}_q(\Phi(\theta,\hat{\theta};n)) \cap A_y[r, \tfrac{3}{4}\pi]) \\
\le \quad & \tfrac{1}{3\sin^{n-1}\frac{r}{2}} \cdot (\tfrac{3^n\omega_{n-1}}{n} \cdot \Phi^{n-1}(\theta,\hat{\theta};n) + \tfrac{\delta}{\Phi(\theta,\hat{\theta};n)}) =: \Phi(\delta;\theta,\hat{\theta};r,n).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, take $\hat{\theta} = \theta$, as $M \setminus W_{p,\theta} = \bar{B}_p(\theta) \cup V_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}} \cup V^c_{p,\theta,\hat{\theta}}$, Lemma 5.1 is obtained.

Lemma 5.2 (see also [C, Lemma 2.3]) *For any $y_1, y_2 \in M$, $0 < r \ll |y_1y_2|$, and $s > 0$, there exists some $s \in (|y_1y_2| - r, |y_1y_2| + r)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r),s} \ge \frac{nV_n(r) \cdot VolM}{\pi^n\omega_n} =: C(r,n).$$

where $V_n(r) := \mathcal{H}^n B_1(r)$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of that of [C, Lemma 2.3].

Denote

$$\Omega_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r)} := \{v \in T_{y_1} \mid \frac{v}{|y_1y|} \in \Uparrow^y_{y_1} \quad \text{for some } y \in B_{y_2}(r)\},$$

by $Ric(M) \ge n-1$, $\exp_{y_1} : T_{y_1} \to M$ is volume non-increasing, and by Lemma 4.1 (ii),

$$\mathcal{H}^n\Omega_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r)} \ge \mathcal{H}^n B_{y_2}(r) \ge \frac{V_n(r) \cdot VolM}{\omega_n},$$

but

$$\mathcal{H}^n\Omega_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r)} = \int_0^\pi \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r),s} \cdot s^{n-1}ds \le \frac{\pi^n}{n} \cdot \max_{0 \le s \le \pi} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r),s},$$

Lemma 5.2 is obtained.

Lemma 5.3 *For any $y_1, y_2 \in M$ with $0 < |y_1y_2| < \frac{5\pi}{8}$, $0 < r \ll \min\{\frac{\pi}{16}, |y_1y_2|\}$, and $y \in B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})$, $l := |y_1y_2| - \frac{r}{4}$, the following holds:*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(r),l} \cap \Sigma_{y,p,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l} \ge C_{5.2}(r,n) - \Phi(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,n)$$

where $C_{5.2}(r,n)$ refer to the $C(r,n)$ in Lemma 5.2; and for any $v \in \Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(r),l}$,

$$\exp_y(\frac{r}{8}v) \in B_{y_1}(r), \qquad \exp_y(lv) \in B_{y_2}(r).$$

Proof. For any $y \in B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})$, by Lemma 5.2, there exists some $s(y) \in (|y_1y_2| - \frac{r}{8}, |y_1y_2| + \frac{r}{8})$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y_1,B_{y_2}(r),s(y)} \geq C(r,n)$, note that on the one hand, for any $z \in S_y(r)$,

$$|y_1z| \leq |y_1y| + |yz| \leq \frac{r}{8} + \frac{r}{8} = \frac{r}{4} < r,$$

on the other hand,

$$s(y) \geq |yy_2| - \frac{r}{8} \geq |y_1y_2| - |y_1y| - \frac{r}{8} \geq |y_1y_2| - \frac{r}{8} - \frac{r}{8} = l,$$

$$s(y) \leq |yy_2| + \frac{r}{8} \leq |y_1y| + |y_1y_2| + \frac{r}{8} \leq \frac{r}{8} + |y_1y_2| + \frac{r}{8} = |y_1y_2| + \frac{r}{4} < \frac{5\pi}{8} + \frac{\pi}{32} < \frac{3\pi}{4},$$

$$0 < s(y) - l \leq (|y_1y_2| + \frac{r}{4}) - (|y_1y_2| - \frac{r}{4}) = \frac{r}{2},$$

and for any $v \in \Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(\frac{r}{8}),s(y)}$,

$$|y_2 \exp_y(lv)| \leq |y_2 \exp_y[s(y)v]| + |\exp_y[s(y)v] \exp_y(lv)| \leq \frac{r}{8} + (s(y) - l) \leq \frac{r}{8} + \frac{r}{2} = \frac{5r}{8} < r,$$

thus, by Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(r),l} \cap \Sigma_{y,p,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l} &\geq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(\frac{r}{8}),s(y)} - \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \Uparrow (y, (M \setminus W_{p,\theta}) \cap A_y[\tfrac{r}{8}, \tfrac{3}{4}\pi]) \\ &\geq C_{5.2}(r,n) - \Phi(\delta; \theta; r, \mathcal{N}, \Phi_0, n). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.4 *Lemma 5.3 still holds for any $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset M$ with $\Sigma_{y,p,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l}$ replaced by $\cap_{i=1}^m \Sigma_{y,x_i,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l}$, and $\Phi(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,n)$ replaced by $\Phi(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,m,n)$.*

Lemma 5.5 (see also [C, Lemma 2.10]) *Given $\epsilon > 0$, $l_0 \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$ and m an integer, there exists $\mu(l_0,n)$ and $\delta(\epsilon,m,l_0,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,k_0,n)$ such that the following holds: If $Vol(M) > \omega_n - \delta$, $y_1, y_2 \in M$ with $|y_1y_2| \leq \min\{\frac{5\pi}{8}, l_0\}$ and f_j, g_j are at most $2m$ elementary fuctions generated by $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset M$ with $|f_j(y_1) - g_j(y_1)| < \mu\epsilon$ and $|f_j(y_2) - g_j(y_2)| < \mu\epsilon$, then there exists $\bar{y}_1 \in B_{y_1}(\mu\epsilon)$, $\bar{y}_2 \in B_{y_2}(\mu\epsilon)$ and a geodesic $\sigma_{\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2}$ between them of length $\bar{l} = |\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2|$ so that for all $s \in [0,\bar{l}]$,*

$$|f_j(\sigma_{\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2}(s)) - f_j(\bar{y}_1)\cos s - \frac{f_j(\bar{y}_2) - f_j(\bar{y}_1)\cos\bar{l}}{\sin\bar{l}}\sin s| < \frac{\epsilon}{n+1},$$

$$|f_j(\sigma_{\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2}(s)) - g_j(\sigma_{\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2}(s))| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. The proof is a modification of that of [C, Lemma 2.10].

Set

$$\mu = \frac{1}{(n+1)(1+2\pi+\frac{2}{\sin l_0})}, \qquad r = \mu\epsilon.$$

Suppose $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}\omega_n$, then

$$C_{5.2}(r,n) = \frac{nV_n(r) \cdot VolM}{\pi^n \omega_n} \geq \frac{nV_n(r)}{2\pi^n}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^n B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}) \geq \frac{V_n(\frac{r}{8})}{\omega_n} \cdot VolM \geq \frac{1}{2}V_n(\frac{r}{8}).$$

For $l := |y_1 y_2| - \frac{r}{4} < \min\{\frac{5\pi}{8}, l_0\}$ and any $y \in B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})$, denote

$$\hat{\Sigma}_y := \cap_{i=1}^m \Sigma_{y,x_i,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l} \cap \Gamma_{y,B_{y_2}(r),l}.$$

By Corollary 4.7, for any $e = f_j,\ g_j,\ j = 1,2,...,m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \textstyle\int_{B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})} dy \cdot \int_{\hat{\Sigma}_y} d\xi \cdot \int_{\frac{r}{8}}^{l} [(e \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial s}(\xi,s)]^2 ds \\ \leq \quad & \textstyle\int_M dy \cdot \int_{\cap_{i=1}^m \Sigma_{y,x_i,\theta,\frac{r}{8},l}} d\xi \cdot \int_{\frac{r}{8}}^{l_\xi} [(e \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial s}(\xi,s)]^2 ds \leq \Phi_{4.7}(\delta;\theta;l_0,m,n), \end{aligned}$$

denote

$$D_{y,e} := \{\xi \in \hat{\Sigma}_y \mid \int_{\frac{r}{8}}^{l} [(e \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial s}(\xi,s)]^2 ds < \mu^2 \epsilon^2\},$$

$$Y := \{y \in B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}) \mid \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y \setminus D_{y,e} \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y\},$$

then by Corollary 5.4,

$$\mathcal{H}^n Y \leq \frac{\Phi_{4.7}(\delta;\theta;l_0,m,n)}{\mu^2\epsilon^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y} \leq \frac{\Phi_{4.7}(\delta;\theta;l_0,m,n)}{\mu^2\epsilon^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}[C_{5.2}(r,n) - \Phi_{5.4}(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,m,n)]},$$

thus, note $C_{5.2}(r,n) \geq \frac{nV_n(r)}{2\pi^n}$ and $\mathcal{H}^n B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}) \geq \frac{1}{2}V_n(\frac{r}{8})$, as $\Phi_{4.7}(\delta;\theta;l_0,m,n)$ and $\Phi_{5.4}(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,n)$ are sufficiently small, one has $\hat{Y} := B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}) \setminus Y$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n \hat{Y} \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^n B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}),$$

and for any $y \in \hat{Y}$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y \setminus D_{y,e} < \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y \cap D_{y,e} \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y.$$

After $2m$ steps of the above argument for all $e = f_j,\ g_j,\ j = 1,2,...,m$, one has that as $\Phi_{4.7}(\delta;\theta;l_0,m,n)$ and $\Phi_{5.4}(\delta;\theta;r,\mathcal{N},\Phi_0,n)$ are sufficiently small, there exists some $\hat{\hat{Y}} \subset B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^n \hat{\hat{Y}} \geq (\frac{1}{2})^{2m}\mathcal{H}^n B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8}) > 0,$$

and for any $y \in \hat{\hat{Y}}$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y \cap_{i=1}^m D_{y,f_j} \cap_{i=1}^m D_{y,g_j} \geq (\frac{1}{2})^{2m}\mathcal{H}^{n-1}\hat{\Sigma}_y > 0,$$

in particular, there exists some $\xi \in \hat{\Sigma}_y \cap_{i=1}^m D_{y,f_j} \cap_{i=1}^m D_{y,g_j}$ for some $y \in B_{y_1}(\frac{r}{8})$. Let $\bar{y}_1 := \sigma_\xi(\frac{r}{8}) \in B_{y_1}(r),\ \bar{y}_2 := \sigma_\xi(l) \in B_{y_2}(r)$, then for all $e = f_j,\ g_j,\ j = 1,2,...,m$,

$$\int_{\frac{r}{8}}^{l} |(e \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial s}(\xi,s)| ds \leq l^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot [\int_{\frac{r}{8}}^{l} [(e \circ \sigma_\xi)'(s) - \frac{\partial h_e}{\partial s}(\xi,s)]^2 ds]^{\frac{1}{2}} < \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mu\epsilon,$$

and for any $s \in [\frac{r}{8}, l]$,

$$|e\circ\sigma_\xi(s) - h_e(\xi, s)| \le |e\circ\sigma_\xi(\frac{r}{8}) - h_e(\xi, \frac{r}{8})| + \pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\mu\epsilon < \frac{\epsilon}{n+1},$$

$$|f_j\circ\sigma_\xi(s) - g_j\circ\sigma_\xi(s)| \le |h_{f_j}(\xi, s) - h_{g_j}(\xi, s)| + 2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\mu\epsilon \le \mu\epsilon + 2\frac{\mu\epsilon}{\sin l_0} + 2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\mu\epsilon < \epsilon.$$

Lemma 5.6 (see also [Per4, Theorem 1]) *For any integer $n \ge 2$ there exists $\delta = \delta(n) > 0$ with the following property. Let M be an $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space without boundary and with $Ric \ge n-1$. Suppose $Vol(M) \ge \omega_n - \delta$. Then M is homeomorphic to S^n.*

Proof. By [Per4], for the case of Riemannian manifolds, all the properties relevant to the proof are a weakened version of the Abresch-Gromoll inequality and a corollary of (the proof of) the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison inequality (see [Per4, p.300] for details), and the solution of (generalized) Poincaré conjecture [CZ, F, S].

For the case of Alexandrov spaces, the Abresch-Gromoll inequality[GM, M, ZZ2] and the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison inequality [LV, O, S2] still hold, the remain is to show that there exists $\delta = \delta(n) > 0$ such that if $Vol(M) \ge \omega_n - \delta$ then M is a topological manifold, which follows from

(a) By Lemma 4.1(i), given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n) > 0$ such that if $Vol(M) \ge \omega_n - \delta$ then $Vol(\Sigma_x) \ge \omega_{n-1} - \epsilon$ for any $x \in M$;

(b) By [BBI, p.395, 10.9.15.3], given any $n \in N$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n) > 0$ such that for any $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space X of curvature ≥ 1 if $Vol(X) \ge \omega_n - \delta$ then $d_{GH}(X, S^n) < \epsilon$;

(c) By [K, Per1], given any $n \in N$, there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$ such that for any $n-$dimensional Alexandrov space X of curvature ≥ 1 if $d_{GH}(X, S^n) < \epsilon$ then X is homeomorphic to S^n;

(d) By [Per1, Per2], for any $x \in M$, there exists a neighborhood of x homeomorphic to the tangent cone of M at x.

Proof of the theorem After having Lemma 5.5 and 5.6, the rest of the proof is the same as [C, 2.13-2.34].

6 Appendix

Proposition *Let $k_0 < 0$, $0 < l \le \pi$, $D \subset (0, l)$ be with full measure, $w : D \to R$ be a real valued function, satisfying (i) $w(t)$ is decreasing in $t \in D$, that is, for any $t_1, t_2 \in D$ such that $t_1 < t_2$,*

$$w(t_1) > w(t_2);$$

(ii) for any $t_0 \in D$,

$$\limsup_{D\ni t\to t_0^+} \frac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{t - t_0} \le -1 - (w(t_0))^2;$$

and (iii)

$$w(t) \le \frac{\sqrt{-k_0}\cosh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k_0}t)} \sim \frac{1}{t}, \qquad D \ni t \to 0^+,$$

then for any $t \in D$,

$$w(t) \leq \cot t.$$

Proof. Since $w(t)$ is decreasing in $t \in D$, one may suppose that w is differentiable almost everywhere on D. Denote

$$E := \{t \in D | \lim_{D \ni t \to t_0} \frac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{t - t_0} = w'(t_0) \ \ \textit{exists} \ \ \},$$

then $E \subset D \subset (0, l)$ is with full measure since $D \subset (0, l)$ is, in particular, E is dense in $(0, l)$.

Fixing $\bar{t} \in D$ and taking $D \ni t_0 \to 0^+$ such that w is differentiable at t_0, now to show that given any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(\square) \qquad \arctan w(\bar{t}) - \arctan w(t_0) \leq -(\bar{t} - t_0) + 2\epsilon \cdot (\bar{t} - t_0).$$

By the differentiability of w at t_0 and conditions (i) and (ii), given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{\tau}_0 := \tilde{\tau}_0(t_0, \epsilon)$ such that for any $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \tilde{\tau}_0) \cap D$,

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan w(t) - \arctan w(t_0) \ &< \tfrac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{1 + (w(t_0))^2} - \epsilon \cdot [w(t) - w(t_0)] \\ &< \tfrac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{1 + (w(t_0))^2} - \epsilon \cdot [(w'(t_0) - \epsilon) \cdot (t - t_0)], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{t - t_0} < -[1 + (w(t_0))^2] + \epsilon,$$

$$\frac{w(t) - w(t_0)}{1 + (w(t_0))^2} < [-1 + \frac{\epsilon}{1 + (w(t_0))^2}] \cdot (t - t_0) < (-1 + \epsilon) \cdot (t - t_0),$$

thus,

$$\arctan w(t) - \arctan w(t_0) < [(-1 + \epsilon) - \epsilon \cdot (w'(t_0) - \epsilon)] \cdot (t - t_0).$$

In short, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\tau_0 := \tau_0(t_0, \epsilon)$ such that for any $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \tau_0) \cap D$,

$$\arctan w(t) - \arctan w(t_0) < -(t - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (t - t_0).$$

Next take $t_1 \in (t_0, t_0 + \tau_0) \cap D$ such that w is differentiable at t_1, then for the given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\tau_1 := \tau_1(t_1, \epsilon)$ such that for any $t \in (t_1, t_1 + \tau_1) \cap D$,

$$\arctan w(t) - \arctan w(t_1) < -(t - t_1) + \epsilon \cdot (t - t_1),$$

and so on, one can take an increasing sequence $0 < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n < ... < l$, such that for the given $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan w(t_1) - \arctan w(t_0) \quad &< -(t_1 - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (t_1 - t_0), \\ \arctan w(t_2) - \arctan w(t_1) \quad &< -(t_2 - t_1) + \epsilon \cdot (t_2 - t_1), \\ &\ldots\ldots \\ \arctan w(t_n) - \arctan w(t_{n-1}) \quad &< -(t_n - t_{n-1}) + \epsilon \cdot (t_n - t_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

summing up, for any $n = 1, 2, ...$,

$$(\mho) \qquad \arctan w(t_n) - \arctan w(t_0) < -(t_n - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (t_n - t_0).$$

Below to show the inequality $(\Box)$ for three cases.

(a) In case of $\bar{t} \in (t_n, t_n + \tau_n) \cap D$ for some t_n taken above, just replace t_{n+1} by $\bar{t}$, the inequality $(\Box)$ is obtained by the above estimate $(\mho)$.

(b) In case of $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \bar{t}$, by the estimate $(\mho)$ and condition (i), for any $n = 1, 2, ...$,

$$\arctan w(\bar{t}) - \arctan w(t_0) < \arctan w(t_n) - \arctan w(t_0) < -(t_n - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (t_n - t_0),$$

letting $n \to \infty$, the inequality $(\Box)$ is obtained.

(c) In case of $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n =: t_\infty < \bar{t}$, choose some t_n such that $t_\infty - t_n$ is sufficiently small, and take sufficiently small $\tau_\infty > 0$ and $t_* \in (t_\infty, t_\infty + \tau_\infty)$ such that w is differentiable at t_*, by condition (i),

$$\arctan w(t_*) - \arctan w(t_n) < 0 = -(t_* - t_n) + (t_* - t_n).$$

Redefine $t_{n+1} := t_*$, and denote $\bar{\epsilon} := t_* - t_n$, then

$$\arctan w(t_{n+1}) - \arctan w(t_n) < -(t_{n+1} - t_n) + \bar{\epsilon},$$

and since both $t_\infty - t_n$ and $\tau_\infty > 0$ are sufficiently small, one can suppose that $\bar{\epsilon}_1$ is arbitrarily small. Starting again from $t_{n+1} := t_*$, as above, for the given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\tau_{n+1} := \tau_{n+1}(t_{n+1}, \epsilon)$ and $t_{n+2} \in (t_{n+1}, t_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1}) \cap D$ such that

$$\arctan w(t_{n+2}) - \arctan w(t_{n+1}) < -(t_{n+2} - t_{n+1}) + \epsilon \cdot (t_{n+2} - t_{n+1}),$$

and so on. Finally, since $t_n - t_{n-1} > 0$ for any $n \geq 1$, after at most countable steps, one obtains an increasing sequence $0 < t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n < ... < l$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \bar{t},$$

and for the given $\epsilon > 0$ and any $n \geq 1$,

$$\arctan w(t_n) - \arctan w(t_{n-1}) < -(t_n - t_{n-1}) + \epsilon \cdot (t_n - t_{n-1}) + \bar{\epsilon}_n,$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_n = (\frac{1}{2})^n \epsilon \cdot (\bar{t} - t_0)$. Summing up, for any $n = 1, 2, ...$,

$$\arctan w(t_n) - \arctan w(t_0) < -(t_n - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (t_n - t_0) + \epsilon \cdot (\bar{t} - t_0),$$

letting $n \to \infty$, the inequality $(\Box)$ is obtained.

Thus, the inequality $(\Box)$ is obtained for all cases.

By the arbitrariness of ϵ, one has that for any $\bar{t} \in D$ and $t_0 \in E$ with $t_0 \to 0^+$,

$$\arctan w(\bar{t}) - \arctan w(t_0) < -(\bar{t} - t_0),$$

And by condition (iii), the proof is completed.

References

[AGS] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below, Invent. math., 195(2), 289C391 (2014).

[BBI] D. Burago, Y. Burago, S. Ivanov, A Course in Metric Geometry, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, AMS (2001).

[BGP] Y. Burago, M. Gromov, G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below, Russian Math. Surveys, 47, 1-58 (1992).

[CDM] J. G. Cao, B. Dai, J. Q. Mei, An optimal extension of Perelman's comparison theorem for quadrangles and its applications, Recent Advances in Geometric Analysis, ALM 11, 39-59 (2009).

[CZ] H. D. Cao, X. P. Zhu, Hamilton-Perelman's Proof of the Poincaré Conjecture and the Geometrization Conjecture, available at http://cn.arxiv.org/abs/0612069

[C] T. H. Colding, Shape of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, Invent. math., 124, 175-191 (1996).

[EKS] M. Erbar, K. Kuwada, K-T. Sturm, On the Equivalence of the Entropic Curvature-Dimension Condition and Bochner's Inequality on Metric Measure Spaces, http://cn.arxiv.org/abs/1303.4382.

[F] M. Freedman, The topology of four-manifolds, J.Differ. Geom., 17, 357-453 (1982).

[G] N. Gigli, The splitting theorem in non-smooth context, http://cn. arxiv. org/abs /1302. 5555

[GM] N. Gigli, S. Mosconi, The Abresch-Gromoll inequality in a non-smooth setting, http://cn. arxiv. org/abs/1209.3813

[HX] Z. S. Hu, S. L. Xu, An inequality between the integral norm and Euclidean norm of a symmetric bilinear form, J. of Math. Inequalities, 6 (2), 273-278 (2012).

[K] V. Kapovitch, Perelman's stability theorem, Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XI, 103-136, Surv. Differ. Geom., 11, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2007.

[KS1] K. Kuwae, T. Shioya, Infinitesimal Bishop-Gromov condition for Alexandrov spaces, Probabilistic Approach to Geometry, 293C302, Adv. Stud. Prue Math. 57, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.

[KS2] K. Kuwae, T. Shioya, A topological splitting theorem for weighted Alexandrov spaces, Tohoku Math. J., 63(2), no. 1, 59-76 (2011).

[LV] J. Lott, C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport, Ann. of Math., 169, 903-991 (2009).

[M] M. Munn, Alexandrov spaces with large volume growth, http://cn.arxiv.org/abs /1405. 3312v1

[O] S. Ohta, On measure contraction property of metric measure spaces, Comment. Math. Helvetici, 82(4), 805-828 (2007).

[Per1] G. Perelman, A.D.Alexandrovs spaces with curvatures bounded from below, II, Preprint, available online at www.math.psu.edu/petrunin/

[Per2] G. Perelman, Elements of Morse theory on Alexandrov spaces, St. Petersburg Math. J., 5(1), 205C213 (1994).

[Per3] G. Perelman, DC structure on Alexandrov spaces. Preprint, preliminary version available online at www.math.psu.edu/petrunin/

[Per4] G. Perelman: Manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with almost maximal volume. JAMS 7, 299-305 (1994)

[PP] G. Perelman, A. Petrunin, Quasigeodesics and gradient curves in Alexandrov spaces, Preprint, available online at www.math.psu.edu/petrunin/

[Pet1] A. Petrunin, Parallel transportation for Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, Geom. Funct. Analysis, 8(1), 123-148 (1998).

[Pet2] A. Petrunin, Semiconcave functions in Alexandrovs geometry, Surveys in Differential Geometry XI.

[Pet3] A. Petrunin, Alexandrov meets Lott-Villani-Sturm, Münster J. of Math., 4, 53-64 (2011).

[QZZ] Z. M. Qian, H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, Sharp Spectral Gap and Li-Yau's Estimate on Alexandrov Spaces, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 273 (3-4), 1175-1195 (2013).

[S] S. Smale, Generalized Poincaré Conjecture in dimensions greater than four, Ann. of Math., 74(2), 391-406 (1961).

[S1] K. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I. Acta Math., 196(1), 65-131 (2006).

[S2] K. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II. Acta Math. 196(1), 133-177 (2006).

[ZZ1] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces and rigidity theorems, Comm. Anal. Geom., 18(3), 503-554 (2010).

[ZZ2] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, On a new definition of Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 30B(6), 1949-1974 (2010).

[ZZ3] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, Yau's gradient estimates on Alexandrov spaces, J. Differ. Geom., 91, 445-522 (2012).

[ZZ4] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, Lipschitz continuity of harmonic maps between Alexandrov spaces, http://cn.arxiv.org/abs /1311.1331v3

Zisheng Hu
Department of Mathematics and Computational Science
Shenzhen University
Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518060
China
email: zshu@szu.edu.cn

Le Yin
Department of Mathematics and Computational Science
Shenzhen University
Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518060
China
email: lyin@szu.edu.cn